	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Intonvious Summans	10/603,744	LANGE ET AL.
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ruth Ilan	3616
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Ruth Ilan</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Anne Simonini</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>09 June 2005</u> .	·	
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)☐ applicant's representative)
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.	
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>The Examiner requested</u> as part of IDS in the IDS cover letter, but was not found to document to the Examiner, as a result.	a copy of the French search i	eport, which was referenced
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse significant control of the requirements.	last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THIS OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	been filed, APPLICANT IS S INTERVIEW SUMMARY
	•	
	$\sqrt{}$	V / /

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,

(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)

- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

1/ 3

FAX TRANSMISSION

LAW OFFICES OF

LAUBSCHER SEVERSON

1160 SPA ROAD, SUITE 2B ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21403 (410) 280-6608 FAX: (410) 280-6758

To:

Ruth Ilan

Date:

June 9, 2005

USPTO

Pages:

3, including this cover sheet

From:

Fax No:

Ann Simonini for

571-273-6673

Lawrence E. Laubscher, Sr.

In re application of

Patrick Lange, et al

Serial No. 10/603,744

Attorney Docket No. 19524

Filed: June 26, 2003

For:

A DEVICE FOR ABSORBING ENERGY FROM AN AUTOMOBILE VEHICLE STEERING COLUMN

Dear Ms. Ilan;

Per your request the French Search Report follows. Should you require anything further, please let us know.

Sincerely,

Ann M. Simonini

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS COMMUNICATION IS CONFIDENTIAL, MAY BE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED, MAY CONSTITUTE INSIDE INFORMATION, AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE. UNAUTHORIZED USE, DISCLOSURE OR COPYING IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED AND MAY BE UNLAWFUL. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US AT (410) 280-6608. THANK YOU.

L:\Station3\Fax\Blankfax.fax

27. JUN. 2003312 20 FRANÇAI MARTINET & LAPOUX

№ 111 P. 1



1

RAPPORT DE RECHERCHE PRÉLIMINAIRE

établi sur la base des dernières revendications déposées avant le commencement de la recherche N° d'enregistrement national

FA 620646 FR 0208046

	CUMENTS CONSIDÉRÉS COMME PERTINENTS Citation du document avec indication, en cas de besoin.		Classoment attribué à l'inventior, par l'INPI	
eporte	des parties partinantes			
	E ³ 0 849 141 A (ECIA EQUIP COMPOSANTS IND AUTO) 24 juin 1998 (1998-06-24) * colonne 2, ligne 36 - colonne 5, ligne	1,2, 11-13	B62D1/18 B62D1/19	
,	44; figures *	3-7,14,	DEGEL V	
·	•	8-10	JUN 2 7 2003	
	EP 1 127 772 A (NACAM) 29 août 2001 (2001-08-29) * alinéas '0002!-'0004!,'0011!,'0020!,'0022!,'0030!, '0032!,'0034!-'0045!,'0049!; figures 1-5 *	3-7	LAWRENCE E. LAUBSCH	
	volt:, vulti: volta:, vulta:; rigures 1-5 *	1,8-10		
	WC 02 34607 A (STICHT FERTIGUNGSTECH STIWA; HEIML ROLAND (AT)) 2 mai 2002 (2002-05-02) * page 8, ligne 1 - page 10, ligne 24; figures 1,2 *	14,15		
, 	1:gures 1,2 #	1,10-13	DOMAINES TECHNIQUES RECHERCHÉS (Int.CL.7)	
ļ	DE 40 17 995 A (DAIHATSU MOTOR CO LTD ;TOYOTA TEKKO KK (JP)) 13 décembre 1990 (1990-12-13)		B62D	
	CONSEIL EN PROPRIÉTÉ INDÚSTRIELLE 43. BOUISVAIR VAUBAN 8P 405 BUYANCOURT 78055 ST QUENTIN VYELINES CEDEX 5: 01 30 64 90 09 - Fax: 01 30 64 90 02	i l	·	
	A your case	1 c4:	9524	
	our core	:0010	BS 2 MAD AN	
	Date d'acrévament de la recinerche		Examinatour	
	31 mars 2003	Кијо	zik, E	
X : partici	TÉCORIE DES DOCUMENTS CITÉS T: théorie ou principe E: document de prev Aliàrument pertinent à lui seul Ala date de dépôt de dépôt ou qu'à u de dépôt ou qu'à u	à la base de l'invet bénéficiant q'u	rention ne date anténeure	

ANNEXE AU RAPPORT DE RECHERCHE PRÉLIMINAIRE RELATIF A LA DEMANDE DE BREVET FRANÇAIS NO. FR 0208046 FA 620646

La présente annexe Indique les membres de la famille de brevets relatifs aux documents brevets cités dans le rapport de

recherche préliminaire visé ci-dessus.

Les dits membres sont conterus au fichier informatique de l'Office européen des brevets à la date d\$1-03-2003

Les renseignements foumls sont donnés à titre indicatif et n'engagent pas la responsabilité de l'Office européen des brevets, ni de l'Administration française

ě	Document breve au rapport de rech		Date de publication		Membre(s) de la famille de brevet(s)	Date de publication
EP	0849141	A	24-06-1998	FR EP	2757472 A1 0849141 A1	26-06-1998 24-05-1998
EP	1127772	А	29-08-2001	FR EP US	2805512 A1 1127772 A1 2001017463 A1	31-08-2001 29-08-2001 30-08-2001
WO	0234607	A	02-05-2002	WO AU	0234607 A1 9524101 A	02-05-2002 06-05-2002
DE	4017995	A	13-12-1990 Դ	JP JP DE GB	2749125 B2 3010964 A 4017995 A1 2234208 A	13-05-1998 18-01-1991 13-12-1990 30-01-1991

Pour tout renseignament concernant cette annexe : voir Journal Officiel de l'Office suropéen des brevets, No.12/82